

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,702	02/09/2004	Ikuya Yagisawa	IIP-5094	9171	
24956 7590 08/30/2007 MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			BRADLEY, MATTHEW A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	1.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,702	YAGISAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Bradley	2187	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	ugust 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-43</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 22,23,28-34 and 39-43 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>24-27 and 35-38</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , ,	ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Art Unit: 2187

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

This Office Action has been issued in response to amendment filed 3 August 2007. Applicant's arguments have been carefully and fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made. Accordingly, this action has **NOT** been made final.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

#### Claim Status

Claims 22-43 remain pending and are ready for examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-23, 28-34, and 39-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bandera et al (U.S. 6,223,252), hereinafter referred to as Bandera.

As per independent claims 22 and 33, Bandera teach,

 a plurality of disks including first disks configuring a RAID group and at least one second disk, wherein each of the first disks store one of data received from a computer coupled to the storage system or parity data used for recovering the data received from the computer, and wherein the at least one second disk is to be used as a spare disk; and (Column 3 lines 22-43 as shown in Figure 1 item 110: taught as the disk array for first disks, and the at least one hot spare drive as the second disk to be used as a spare disk)

- a control section configured to hold an error status of each of the first disks, and (Column 3 line 62 to Column 4 line 1: taught as error information)
- o to start to mirror data between one of the first disks and the at least one second disk when the error status of the one of the first disks matches a predetermined first criterion, wherein, (Column 5 lines 44-53)
- o after starting to mirror data between the one of the first disks and the at least one second disk, the control section is configured to stop mirroring data between the one of the first disks and the at least one second disk and start to mirror data between another one of the first disks and the at least one second disk, according to the error status of the one of the first disks and the another one of the first disks (Figure 2C as taught in Column 6 line 63 to Column 7 line 7).

As per dependent claims 23 and 34, Bandera teach, the control section is configured to compare the error status of each of the first disks, and based on the result of error status comparison between the one of the first disks and the another one of the first disks, the control section is configured to stop mirroring data between the one of the

first disks and the at least one second disk and start to mirror data between the another one of the first disks and the at least one second disk (Column 6 lines 31-52: taught as the predictive failure analysis and switching of the drive to be mirrored to the hot spare based on the comparison of the data between the drives of the information gathered from the analysis).

As per dependent claims **28** and **39**, Bandera teach, wherein the error status of each of the first disks is an error count of each of the first disks, and when the error count of the another one of the first disks becomes larger than the error count of the one of the first disks, the control section is configured to stop mirroring data between the one of the first disks and the at least one second disk and start to mirror data between the another one of the first disks and the at least one second disk (Column 6 lines 31-52: taught as the seek errors for example, and switching of drive to be mirrored based on the seek error count as determined by the predictive failure analysis).

As per dependent claims **29** and **40**, Bandera teach, wherein information indicating a pair of disks configuring a mirroring pair is output from the storage system to a management computer coupled to the storage system (Column 3 lines 39-52: taught as the embodiment of a storage subsystem and indication of the mirror drive to "global" hot spare to the system).

As per dependent claims **30** and **41**, Bandera teach, wherein the predetermined first criterion is updated based on the error status of the first disks configuring a RAID group (Column 6 lines 31-52: taught as the predictive failure analysis and gathering of data during the period used for the analysis).

As per dependent claims 31 and 42, Bandera teach, wherein the control section is configured to start to mirror data between said another one of the first disks and the at least one second disk before a plan of mirroring data between said one of the first disks and the at least one second disk is completed (Figure 2C as taught in Column 6 line 63 to Column 7 line 7: taught as the stopping of the mirroring between the selected drive to be mirrored and the hot spare before the mirroring is complete).

As per dependent claims 32 and 43, Bandera teach, wherein the control section is configured to start to mirror data between said another one of the first disks and the at least one second disk, if the error status of said another one of the first disks is greater than or equal to the error status of said one of the first disks (Column 6 lines 31-52: taught as the seek errors for example, and switching of drive to be mirrored based on the increased seek error count of the predicted drive to be failed over the initial mirror drive as determined by the predictive failure analysis and gathering of data pertinent to the drives).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-27 and 35-38 are objected to as being dependent upon rejected independent claims 22 and 33 respectively, but would be allowable if rewritten in correct and independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2187

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Bradley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8575. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A. Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BRP/mb